Synaptosomes as a Platform for Loading Nanoparticles into Synaptic Vesicles
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ABSTRACT: Synaptosomes are intact, isolated nerve terminals that contain the necessary machinery to recycle synaptic vesicles via endocytosis and exocytosis upon stimulation. Here we use this property of synaptosomes to load quantum dots into synaptic vesicles. Vesicles are then isolated from the synaptosomes, providing a method to probe isolated, individual synaptic vesicles where each vesicle contains a single, encapsulated nanoparticle. This technique provided an encapsulation efficiency of ~16%; that is, ~16% of the vesicles contained a single quantum dot while the remaining vesicles were empty. The ability to load single nanoparticles into synaptic vesicles opens new opportunity for employing various nanoparticle-based sensors to study the dynamics of vesicular transporters.
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Synaptic vesicles are small trafficking organelles that are responsible for communication between neurons by storing and releasing neurotransmitters upon stimulation. Synaptic vesicles were first isolated from brain tissue by Whittaker et al. in 1964 and have been studied using mass spectrometry,2,3 electron microscopy,4,5 and fluorescence microscopy,6,7 providing information about the physical properties of vesicles and neurotransmitter-loading behavior. These studies have contributed to the overall understanding of synaptic vesicles and the functions they play in neuronal communication. Unfortunately, these studies often do not probe the internal environment of the isolated vesicles. Current methods for probing the lumen of synaptic vesicles involve the use of membrane-permeable dyes such as acridine orange8 or vesicles engineered to contain a pH sensitive GFP9 or false fluorescent neurotransmitters, which are only available for nonspecific neurotransmitter transporters.10 We sought to develop a technique that would allow us to probe the internal environment of synaptic vesicles using nanoparticle-based fluorescent sensors, such as those for pH, calcium, and glutamate. The ability to load synaptic vesicles with these fluorescent sensors will allow real-time readout of these important molecules with single-vesicle resolution, which in turn will enable us to produce a better understanding of the vesicular transporters that control these molecules.

We selected quantum dots as our model fluorescent sensor for a number of reasons. They are commercially available, have very well-defined absorption and emission spectra, and have well-characterized photophysical properties. Quantum dots are approximately 15 nm in diameter, which is small enough to fit inside a synaptic vesicle (40–50 nm) but too large to be transported into the vesicle or diffuse across the membrane. Quantum dots have been used previously to study neurotransmitter release in cultured neurons, revealing that quantum dots can be taken up by synaptic boutons.11 It is difficult to isolate synaptic vesicles from cultured neurons, however, due to the limited number of cells that can be grown on a plate at one time. In order to study individual synaptic vesicles in a statistically relevant manner, we desired a technique that allows one to load and then isolate many synaptic vesicles at once. Thus, we chose to use synaptosomes as the platform for loading nanoparticles into synaptic vesicles.

Synaptosomes provide a twofold advantage for this type of experiment: (1) They contain all the machinery necessary for synaptic vesicles to go through endocytosis,12 neurotransmitter loading,13 and exocytosis.14 (2) The isolation of synaptic vesicles from synaptosomes is well-characterized.15 Synaptosomes are nerve terminals that have been separated from their axons and postsynaptic connections then resealed, retaining function that closely mimics nerve terminals in vivo.16,17 This is achieved by homogenizing fresh brain tissue in isotonic medium, which shears denser axon terminals away from axons, then subjecting the homogenate to several specific centrifugation steps that enrich synaptic terminals based on their buoyant density.17 Synaptosomes can be stimulated to release neurotransmitter either chemically, through the application of 30 mM (or greater) K+,11 or 4-aminopyridine (4-AP),13 or electrically, through the application of action potentials.18 This property has allowed researchers to label synaptic vesicles with FM dyes6,19 and membrane permeable dyes6,14 in the study of vesicle acidification, endocytosis, and vesicle recycling. Thus, synaptosomes are a
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Synaptosomes were isolated from fresh brain tissue and purification of 30 mM K\(^+\) into synaptic vesicles by stimulating the synaptosome with an application of 30 mM K\(^+\). The loaded synaptic vesicles were then isolated following homogenization and a two-step centrifugation procedure. Synaptic vesicles could be stored at 4 °C for about 1 week.

valid platform for loading synaptic vesicles with fluorescent markers. Here we expand the use of synaptosomes to encapsulate nanoparticles within synaptic vesicles, to promote the study of the internal makeup of isolated synaptic vesicles.

The flowchart in Figure 1 presents the method we optimized for encapsulating quantum dots in synaptic vesicles and isolation of the vesicles. The procedure has three main parts: (1) isolation of synaptosomes, (2) chemical stimulation of synaptosomes to trigger loading, and (3) vesicle isolation. Following isolation, synaptic vesicles were imaged in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) microwells using total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy (Supporting Information Figure 1).

The flowchart in Figure 1 presents the method we optimized for encapsulating quantum dots in synaptic vesicles and isolation of the vesicles. The procedure has three main parts: (1) isolation of synaptosomes, (2) chemical stimulation of synaptosomes to trigger loading, and (3) synaptic vesicle isolation. Following isolation, synaptic vesicles were imaged in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) microwells using total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy (Supporting Information Figure 1).

The isolation of synaptosomes is based on methods developed by Nagy and Delgadoescuela\(^{20}\) and Dunkley et al.\(^{21}\) There are several important caveats for the isolation of synaptosomes for nanoparticle loading. The first is the use of a swinging bucket rotor to generate soft pellets that require less pipetting to resuspend. Care must be taken to keep as many synaptosomes intact and functional as possible. Another important step is the use of a discontinuous Percoll gradient to purify synaptosomes from mitochondria, myelin, and synaptic and plasma membranes because the carboxyl surface groups on the quantum dots favor attachment to neuronal membranes.\(^{11}\) The use of a Percoll gradient reduces the amount of extraneous neuronal membrane available for quantum dot binding. It is also important to determine the protein concentration after purifying the synaptosomes. Total protein concentration of 6–8 mg/mL is typically obtained following Percoll gradient purification, which is too high for efficient nanoparticle loading. The synaptosomes must be diluted to give a total protein concentration of approximately 0.3 mg/mL. All isolation steps were performed in isotonic medium to maintain activity.

The stimulation procedure developed to load nanoparticles is based on procedures used to load styril dyes into synaptosomes.\(^{19,21}\) Initially, we verified that the isolation procedure we optimized produced active synaptosomes by stimulating the synaptosomes in the presence of FM4-64 dye only. Synaptosomes were stimulated using a 2 min application of 30 mM K\(^+\) Krebs-like buffer in the presence of FM4-64 dye at 37 °C. Following two wash steps, to remove excess dye, the synaptosome pellet was resuspended in Krebs-like buffer, placed in a PDMS microwell, and stimulated a second time with a second application of 30 mM K\(^+\) Krebs-like buffer. Figure 2 shows a ∼70% decrease in fluorescence intensity following the second stimulation with high K\(^+\) buffer, which is consistent with FM dye destaining resulting from a K\(^+\) challenge.\(^{22}\) We applied this isolation and loading method to the loading of nanoparticles into synaptic vesicles.

To confirm that synaptic vesicles were taking up nanoparticles using the synaptosome loading procedure described here, FM4-64 dye was loaded simultaneously with Q605 quantum dots. Synaptosomes were stimulated with 30 mM K\(^+\) in the presence of FM4-64 and Q605, washed, and then ruptured to release the synaptic vesicles. Isolated synaptic vesicles were placed in a PDMS microwell and imaged using TIRF microscopy. Synaptic vesicles were initially identified by fluorescence of FM4-64 dye, shown as red puncta in panel 1, Figure 3. In a separate channel, Q605 puncta were identified (green puncta) as shown in panel 2, Figure 3. Numerous FM4-64 spots were seen when synaptic vesicles were stimulated in the presence of 30 mM K\(^+\) (Figure 3a), indicating that synaptic vesicles were recycled in the synaptosome following stimulation. In the absence of K\(^+\)
stimulation (Figure 3b), few FM4-64 spots were detected; these spots were probably the result of spontaneous recycling synaptic vesicles. The third column in Figure 3 represents the overlay between the FM4-64 channel and the Q605 channel. The histogram in Figure 3c shows the percent of FM4-64-labeled vesicles that were matched to a Q605 spot under various loading conditions. For vesicles loaded using high K\textsuperscript{+} stimulation, 25.8 ± 11.1% (Figure 3c) of the vesicles identified by FM4-64 overlay with Q605 spots. (c) Bar graph showing percentage of overlay for vesicles before (blue) and after (red) BHQ2 quenching treatment under different stimulation conditions. No K\textsuperscript{+} stimulation (same condition as in panel b), no Ca\textsuperscript{2+} stimulation (done using buffer without CaCl\textsubscript{2}), high K\textsuperscript{+} stimulation (same condition as in panel a), 4-AP stimulation (done using 1 mM 4-aminopyridine). Error bars represent SEM of overlay percentage.

Figure 3. Overlay of FM4-64 dye and Q605 in isolated synaptic vesicles. (a,b) The left panel shows synaptic vesicles labeled with FM4-64 dye, the middle panel shows the same vesicles labeled with Q605, and the right panel shows the overlay between the two channels. (a) For synaptic vesicles isolated from synaptosomes stimulated with 30 mM K\textsuperscript{+}, 20–30% of synaptic vesicle population identified by FM4-64 (red channel) overlaid with Q605 spots (green channel). (b) Control sample showing synaptic vesicles isolated from synaptosomes stimulated with Krebs-like buffer (4 mM K\textsuperscript{+}), where <10% of vesicles identified by FM4-64 overlaid with Q605 spots. (c) Bar graph showing percentage of overlay for vesicles before (blue) and after (red) BHQ2 quenching treatment under different stimulation conditions. No K\textsuperscript{+} stimulation (same condition as in panel b), no Ca\textsuperscript{2+} stimulation (done using buffer without CaCl\textsubscript{2}), high K\textsuperscript{+} stimulation (same condition as in panel a), 4-AP stimulation (done using 1 mM 4-aminopyridine). Error bars represent SEM of overlay percentage.

Figure 4. Cryo-electron microscopy images of isolated synaptic vesicles loaded with quantum dots. (a) Representative overview of isolated synaptic vesicles. Application of high K\textsuperscript{+} produced the greatest effect, with an overlay percent of 25.8 ± 11.1% compared to 19.8 ± 11.7% overlay for vesicles loaded by application of 4-AP. To verify that the quantum dots matched to synaptic vesicles (i.e., overlaid with FM4-64) were truly encapsulated within synaptic vesicles, we determined that spontaneous recycling vesicles may be loading nanoparticles. Since the fusion/exocytosis step requires the presence of a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} spike,25 we removed Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from the Krebs-like buffer and added 1 mM EGTA to the synaptosomes before loading. Only 5.0 ± 0.3% of vesicles (identified by FM4-64) matched a quantum dot spot when synaptosomes were stimulated in the absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. It should be noted that the number of vesicles labeled with FM4-64 was significantly lower when synaptosomes were stimulated in the absence of high K\textsuperscript{+} or Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. For stimulated synaptosomes, our MATLAB program routinely identified >1000 puncta as valid synaptic vesicle spots; without high K\textsuperscript{+} or Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, the number of puncta identified as valid synaptic vesicle spots decreased to <200.

For visual confirmation that the quantum dots were encapsulated into synaptic vesicles and not nonspecifically associated with vesicles or membrane contaminants, we visualized the quantum dot-loaded synaptic vesicles using cryogenic electron microscopy (cryoEM). Samples of isolated synaptic vesicles were plunge-frozen in vitrified ice and imaged without further manipulation. Figure 4a shows a representative image of the synaptic vesicle sample. Many synaptic vesicles with diameters ranging from 30 to 60 nm were seen in addition to larger membranous structures that ranged in size from 80 to 150 nm. These larger structures probably correspond to synaptosomal plasma membrane.
myelin, or endoplasmic reticulum plasma membrane contaminants, which are typically found in synaptic vesicle preparations. An empty, isolated synaptic vesicle is shown in Figure 4b for comparison on the same length scale as the quantum dot loaded synaptic vesicles shown in Figure 4c–e. Loaded synaptic vesicles ranged in diameter from 51 to 58 nm, and the quantum dots ranged in diameter from 14 to 19 nm. The quantum dots seemed to reside off-center in the synaptic vesicles, consistent with the images collected by Zhang et al. of synaptic vesicles containing quantum dots in neuronal cells. Unlike fluorescence imaging using FM4-64 where we can selectively visualize synaptic vesicles only, cryoEM is nonselective and images all membranous structures in our sample. As a result, quantum dot containing vesicles were tedious to detect using cryoEM imaging, with approximately 1–2% of the total membranous structures imaged containing a quantum dot.

Similar numbers of quantum dot spots appeared in both the high K+ stimulation (Figure 3a) and no K+ stimulation (Figure 3b) panels, and extraneous quantum dots can be seen in the cryo-electron micrographs (Figure 4). This suggested that nonencapsulated quantum dots are not fully removed during the wash steps and, possibly, that the quantum dots are nonspecifically associated with synaptic vesicles or contaminating neuronal membranes. We applied our MATLAB program that analyzes two color images to determine the percentage of the quantum dot population that matched (or overlaid) with synaptic vesicle spots. We found that 60–70% of the quantum dot population overlaid with an FM4-64-labeled vesicle (data not shown); it should be noted that this percentage is different from the percent of FM4-64-labeled vesicles that overlay with quantum dots as discussed in preceding paragraphs and reported in the figures. Thus, extraneous quantum dots are present in the sample following synaptic vesicle isolation. The quantum dots utilized in these experiments have a polymer coating that terminates with carboxyl groups to enhance their biocompatibility, which favor attachments to neuronal membranes, making it difficult to remove nonencapsulated quantum dots by washing. The procedure includes two wash steps to remove excess quantum dots and FM4-64 dye, and as each wash requires a centrifugation and resuspension that can damage the synaptosomes, we did not want to increase the number of wash steps. Thus, it was necessary to develop another method for distinguishing nonencapsulated quantum dots from those that are encapsulated in synaptic vesicles.

We desired a method to distinguish encapsulated from nonencapsulated quantum dots that would not reduce the synaptic vesicle concentration or alter their ability to load neurotransmitter. We opted to quench nonencapsulated quantum dots on the coverglass surface and treat with BHQ2-NH2 (red bars) have a ratio centered at 1.25, showing that BHQ2-NH2 treatment did not alter the fluorescence intensity. The other population has a ratio centered at 5 indicating that this population corresponded to Q605 quantum dots that were attached to the vesicle surface. Quantum dots placed on the glass surface and treated with BHQ2-NH2 (red bars) have a ratio centered at 7 confirming that application of BHQ2-NH2 quenches quantum dot fluorescence. In the absence of stimulation (no K+ stim vesicles; black bars), BHQ2-NH2 quenched 70% of the quantum dots, and in the absence of Ca2+ (green bars) BHQ2-NH2 quenched 98% of the quantum dots.

Figure 5. Synaptic vesicle overlay after treatment with BHQ2-NH2. (a) Images showing overlay between FM4-64 and Q605 channels for 30 mM K+ loaded synaptic vesicles before (left panel) treatment with BHQ2-NH2 and after (right panel) treatment with 10 M BHQ2-NH2. (b) Fluorescent intensity ratio [initial intensity (Fi)/final intensity after quenching (Ff)] of Q605 labeled vesicles after treatment with BHQ2-NH2. Stimulated synaptic vesicles (blue bars) exhibit two populations of fluorescence intensity ratio. One population has a fluorescence intensity ratio centered at 1.25, showing that BHQ2-NH2 treatment did not alter the fluorescence intensity. The other population has a ratio centered at 5 indicating that this population corresponded to Q605 quantum dots that were attached to the vesicle surface. Quantum dots placed on the glass surface and treated with BHQ2-NH2 (red bars) have a ratio centered at 7 confirming that application of BHQ2-NH2 quenches quantum dot fluorescence. In the absence of stimulation (no K+ stim vesicles; black bars), BHQ2-NH2 quenched 70% of the quantum dots, and in the absence of Ca2+ (green bars) BHQ2-NH2 quenched 98% of the quantum dots.

The BHQ2 assay was further validated by comparing the final intensity of quantum dot puncta after BHQ2 treatment to their initial intensity (eq 1). Here Fi is the initial intensity of Q605 region of interest (ROI) selected in Metamorph, and Ff is the intensity of the same Q605 ROI following BHQ2 quenching.

\[
\text{intensity ratio} = \frac{F_f}{F_i}
\]

This analysis reveals encapsulated quantum dots because their intensity ratio does not change upon BHQ2 addition. Figure 5b presents a histogram of intensity ratios obtained for quantum dots under varying conditions. Quantum dots on the coverglass surface had an intensity ratio centered at 7; none had an intensity ratio of 1, showing that all quantum dots on the coverglass surface were quenched by BHQ2 as anticipated. The histogram of quantum dot intensity ratios for synaptic vesicle samples stimulated with either 4-AP or high K+ revealed two populations after BHQ2 treatment. One population had an intensity ratio centered...
at 1.25 corresponding to encapsulated quantum dots. Encapsulated quantum dots account for 75% of the quantum dots analyzed (853 spots). A second population had an intensity ratio centered at 5 corresponding to nonencapsulated quantum dots.

In the absence of stimulation, the distribution of quantum dot intensity ratios shifted to larger values, indicating the existence of nonencapsulated quantum dots, although approximately 30% of this population (141 spots) still had an intensity ratio corresponding to encapsulated quantum dots. This population probably corresponds to spontaneously recycling synaptic vesicles that can encapsulate quantum dots. Removing Ca\(^{2+}\) from the sample shifted the intensity ratios to even higher values, leaving only 2% of the population (154 spots) unquenched. Thus, encapsulated quantum dots can be identified, on chip, from nonencapsulated quantum dots that are quenched by BHQ2. This experiment also confirms quantum dots were successfully loaded into the interior of synaptic vesicles.

In summary, we have developed a method for loading nanoparticles into synaptic vesicles that can then be isolated for further study. Quantum dots can be loaded using either 30 mM K\(^{+}\) stimulation or 1 mM 4-AP stimulation, with high K\(^{+}\) stimulation resulting in a slightly higher percentage of vesicles (25.8 \(\pm\) 11.1% vs 19.8 \(\pm\) 11.7%) being matched to a quantum dot spot. It has been suggested that there are two modes of synaptic vesicle recycling: full fusion where the vesicle fuses completely with the plasma membrane, and kiss-and-run where the vesicle fuses transiently with plasma membrane and releases its contents through a small (1–5 nm) fusion pore.\(^{27,28}\) Previous work with synaptosomes has suggested that high K\(^{+}\) stimulation induces full fusion whereas high concentrations of 4-AP (>0.3 mM) can stimulate both full fusion and partial (kiss-and-run) fusion.\(^{19}\) If high K\(^{+}\) application stimulates full fusion only, this would explain the larger percentage of synaptic vesicles containing a quantum dot after synaptosomes were stimulated with high K\(^{+}\); quantum dots are too large to enter through the putative fusion pore (1–5 nm) formed during kiss-and-run. For future single-vesicle studies, we have devised an effective assay using black hole quenchers to distinguish those vesicles with encapsulated fluorescent nanoparticles from those with only nonspecifically associated nanoparticles. From this assay, we were able to determine that approximately 16% of the recycling synaptic vesicles, as visualized with FM4-64, encapsulate a quantum dot. As the field of nanoparticles continues to grow and new sensors are being developed,\(^{29,30}\) we anticipate this technique will allow information regarding the makeup of the synaptic vesicle interior to be gathered and the dynamics of vesicular transporters to be probed with high resolution.
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